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The O → E link

- Education as a factor of either change or stability
- Persistent vs. non-persistent inequalities
  - Shavit and Blossfeld 1993
  - Breen et al. 2009
- A special case: Italy
  - Unclear picture, also due to methodological factors
    - Measurement of O, E and T
    - Data used
    - Technique of analysis
    - W and M vs. W+M
How social origin is measured

- Father’s occupation: always
- Mother’s occupation: sometimes
  - Most often ignored, or subsumed in dominance-like approaches
  - Very few times used as a variable in itself
- This is because:
  - Working mothers are a minority (~33%)
  - Then considering mother’s occupation would mean discarding 2/3 of valid cases
Current options

- The choice is between two alternatives:
  1. Social origin = father’s occupation/dominance → use all valid cases
  2. Social origin = father’s and mother’s occupation → use only 1/3 of the valid cases

- In both cases we face some troubles:
  1. Can we do as if the sole type of family (of origin) is the male breadwinner?
  2. Can we generalize the results we get using only 1/3 of the cases to the rest of the sample?
Gender inequality within couples affects the process of socio-economic stratification (Blossfeld 2007)

The male breadwinner and the dual-earner model derive from the gendered division of labour (inside and outside the household) between women and men

From a family wage economy to an individual wage economy

The type of family respondents lived in at the age of 14 may matter for assessing the influence of class origin on educational attainment
How so?

- Male breadwinner and dual-earner families represent different types of social environment which can affect respondents’ educational attainment in many ways.
  - Due to increasing educational homogamy, male-breadwinner and dual-earner families differ in terms of resources (income and cultural capital) that can be allocated to children’s education.
  - They also differ in terms of time spent with children, role modelling, role attitudes, power structure within the family (Sorensen and McLanahan 1987; Nock and Kingston 1988; Zuo and Tang 2000; Crompton et al. 2007; Yodanis and Lauer 2007; Cunningham 2008; Lewis et al. 2009; Cha 2010; Milkie et al. 2010).
Research questions

Substantive

1. Does the trend of IEO over time vary according to the type of family of origin?
2. Is the trend different for women and men?

Methodological

3. Are conditional association models with linearly constrained scores better than the standard version?
The strategy of analysis

- A $2 \times 2$ design
  - Two groups according to the type of family of origin (male-breadwinner and dual-earner)
  - Two groups according to gender

- Due to the way the technique of analysis has been used (see later), the four groups have been analysed separately (and not using indicators)
Measurement

- Post-harmonization of original variables
- Education (E)
  1. Primary (in/complete) + illiterate + incomplete low sec.
  2. Lower secondary + incomplete high sec
  3. Higher secondary (2-3 yrs voc. + 4-5 yrs)
  4. Tertiary (lower + higher + post graduate)
- Class origin (O)
  - Father’s and/or mother’s class
  - EGP 5 classes: I+II, IIIab, IVab, V+VI+VIIa, IVc+VIIb
- Birth cohorts (T)
Data

- Previous studies on IEO in Italy used rather small data sets (except for Barone et al. 2010)
- Weakness of conclusions (Breen et al. 2009)
- This data set:
  - 18 surveys, 1985-2008
  - Cohorts 1900-1984
  - Age: 25+
  - Male-breadwinner family of origin: N=48070+49088 (W+M)
  - Dual earner family of origin: N=25600+23610 (W+M)
## Data sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Survey</th>
<th>Archive/Available at</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>NSMS</td>
<td>National Social Mobility Survey</td>
<td>ADPSS (<a href="http://www.sociologiadip.unimib.it/sociodata">www.sociologiadip.unimib.it/sociodata</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997, 1999</td>
<td>ILFI</td>
<td>Longitudinal Study of Italian Households</td>
<td>University of Trento (<a href="http://www.soc.unitn.it/ilfi">www.soc.unitn.it/ilfi</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td><em>Itanes</em> Survey</td>
<td>Italian National Election Studies (Itanes) (<a href="http://www.itanes.org">www.itanes.org</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003, 2006</td>
<td>ESS</td>
<td>European Social Survey (ESS)</td>
<td>ESS (<a href="http://www.europeansocialsurvey.org">www.europeansocialsurvey.org</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>ONO</td>
<td>Osservatorio Nord Ovest Barometer</td>
<td>ONO (<a href="http://www.nordovest.org">www.nordovest.org</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>Social Evaluation of Occupation Survey</td>
<td>University of Eastern Piedmont (<a href="http://sides05.unipmn.it">sides05.unipmn.it</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>IPS</td>
<td>Isfol Plus Survey</td>
<td>Isfol (<a href="http://www.isfol.it">www.isfol.it</a>)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How important are data?

Men, 1985 & 1997

Women, 1985 & 1997

Meraviglia C. and Ganzeboom H.B.G. (2012), Long term trends in inequality of educational opportunity in Italy. An analysis using conditional association models with linearly constrained scores, under revision
How important are data?

Technique of analysis

- Conditional association models (Goodman 1979), aka log-multiplicative models (Clogg 1982)
  - How the row-column association varies according to a layer variable (time)
- No information on ordering and spacing between categories of row/column/layer variables is available →
- Estimation of row/column/layer scores (O, E and T; respectively, $\phi_{ik}$, $\varphi_{jk}$, $\beta_k$)
Interpreting the parameters

- $\beta_k = \text{trend of IEO over time}$
- $\phi_{ik} = \text{relative distance between class origins as for educational opportunities of the offspring over time (which class has been advantaged /disadvantaged over the years in terms of EO)}$
- $\varphi_{jk} = \text{relative distance between educational grades (which transition has been harder to make, and how this changed over time)}$
Modelling time

- Standard conditional association models:
  - Heterogeneous: \( \phi_{ik}, \varphi_{jk}, \beta_k \) are free to vary over time
  - Uniform: \( \phi_{ik}, \varphi_{jk}, \beta_k \) are constant over time

- Linearly constrained conditional association model:
  - Continuation of Clogg (1982) (see paper)
  - Constraints on the overall IEO parameter, \( \beta_k \) (Wong 2010)
    - Heterogeneous, linear, quadratic
  - Linear constraints on O and E parameters, \( \phi_{ik}, \varphi_{jk} \)
    - Linear heterogeneous
    - Linear and parallel
    - Mixed linear heterogeneous and parallel
Modelling time: Heterogeneous
(standard conditional association model)
Modelling time: Linear heterogeneous
Modelling time: Linear and parallel
Modelling time: Mixed linear
Research questions

■ Substantive

1. Does the trend of IEO over time vary according to the type of family of origin?
2. Is the trend different for women and men?

■ Methodological

3. Are the linearly constrained conditional association models better than the standard version?
Results: Origin scores, Men

- Farm (IVc+VIIb) and routine nonmanual (IIIab) origin – parallel lines – are associated to a lesser decrease of IEO than in the case of the other classes.
- The other classes (I+II, IVab, V+VI+VIIa) experienced greater a reduction of inequality.
- Valid for both male-breadwinner and dual-earner family of origin.

- Farmers/farm lab.
- Manual wrkrs
- Small self-empl.
- Routine non man.
- Professionals/managers
Results: Origin scores, Women

- IEO decreased for all classes alike (parallel lines)
- However farm origin experienced a lesser decrease
- Valid for both male-breadwinner and dual-earner family of origin
Results:
Education scores, Men = Women

- The most unequal transition used to be between primary and lower secondary school (see Mare 1981)
- Younger cohorts faced more inequality in the transition from lower to higher secondary school
- Making the primary-to-lower secondary school transition has become easier over time
- Major reform in 1962, which however fostered an already existing trend
Results: Fit measures, Men

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trend ((\beta_k))</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Male-breadwinner</th>
<th>Dual-earner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(df)</td>
<td>(L^2)</td>
<td>(BIC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterogeneous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Men raised in male-breadwinner families of origin experienced a constant and linear reduction of IEO over time.

For men raised in dual-earner families, mother’s and father’s influence are heterogeneous and (almost) offset.
## Results: Fit measures, Women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trend ( (\beta_k) )</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Male-breadwinner</th>
<th>Dual-earner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>df</td>
<td>( L^2 )</td>
<td>BIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heterogeneous</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6d</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>256.0</td>
<td>-196.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15a</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>338.7</td>
<td>-394.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Linear</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6e</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>309.7</td>
<td>-197.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15b</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>401.5</td>
<td>-385.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quadratic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6f</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>260.3</td>
<td>-235.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15c</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>342.0</td>
<td>-434.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Uniform</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6g</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>256.0</td>
<td>-196.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15d</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>338.7</td>
<td>-394.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For women raised in male-breadwinner families, father’s class increased its influence up to the 1940s cohort, then it decreased quite rapidly.

For women raised in dual earner families, both parents’ influence on daughters’ educational attainment increased over time for cohorts born no later than the Fifties, then declining.

The decline of mother’s influence starts when women’s participation in the educational system begins to increase (before reform in 1962).
Conclusions

1. Does the trend of IEO over time vary according to the type of family of origin?
   - Yes: the gendered division of labour brings different outcomes in terms of educational opportunities for the offspring
   - The often reported conclusion of no decrease of IEO in Italy over the 20th century comes from blurring the differences between respondents living in different types of family at the age of 14
   - If we consider respondents living in dual-earner families, and model their social origin using only father’s class (current default choice), the influence of the latter on respondents’ educational attainment is spurious
Conclusions

2. Is the trend different for women and men?
   - Yes: the interplay between type of family and class origin leads to different dynamics of IEO over time
     - It is true that IEO for the two genders and the two types of family converge to the same level
     - Were we to take a picture of the Italian society at the end of the 20th century, we would conclude that IEO is the same for all groups
     - However the interplay of factors leading to this outcome is very different for women and men living in male-breadwinner and dual-earner families
Conclusions

3. Are the linearly constrained conditional association models better than the standard version?
   - Yes. This version of conditional association models:
     - Allows a more parsimonious representation of the trend of IEO over time
     - Gives a more detailed picture of the processes that took place over the period considered
Thank you!